

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

TEON D'MON HILL,

Petitioner,

vs.

CRAIG GABLE, Warden at Tecumseh State
Institution;

Respondent.

8:23CV13

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on preliminary review of Petitioner Teon D'mon Hill's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, [Filing No. 1](#), brought pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#) and filed on January 12, 2023. Petitioner set forth in his petition that he was convicted after a jury trial in the District Court of Douglas County, Nebraska of first degree murder and two counts of possession of a firearm by a prohibited person and was sentenced on April 28, 2016 to life and two terms of 15 to 20 years' imprisonment. [Filing No. 1 at 1–2](#). Petitioner filed a direct appeal, and the Nebraska Supreme Court affirmed his convictions and sentences on January 19, 2018. [*Id. at 2*](#); see also [State v. Hill, 905 N.W.2d 668 \(Neb. 2018\)](#).

Petitioner filed a motion for new trial based on newly discovered evidence on January 16, 2019, which the state district court denied on May 14, 2020. [Filing No. 1 at 3, 6, 17](#). Petitioner appealed the denial of the motion for new trial, and the Nebraska Supreme Court affirmed the state district court's decision on February 26, 2021. [*Id. at 17–18*](#); [State v. Hill, 955 N.W.2d 303 \(Neb. 2021\)](#). Petitioner alleges he filed a motion for postconviction relief on April 1, 2021, within the "21 days left on [his] statute of limitations for filing an application for postconviction relief." [Filing No. 1 at 18](#). The state

district court dismissed Petitioner's postconviction motion "because the statute of limitations had run," and the Nebraska Supreme Court affirmed the dismissal on December 23, 2021. *Id. at 18, 25; State v. Hill*, 968 N.W.2d 96 (Neb. 2021).

It appears from the face of the petition that Petitioner's claims may be barred by the statute of limitations because the petition was filed more than one year after Petitioner's judgment became final. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). However, in order to ensure a just and fair resolution of this matter, the Court will enter an order progressing this case to final resolution. Respondent should be mindful of, and if necessary respond to, Petitioner's assertion that the untimeliness of his petition is due to the advice of his postconviction counsel. See [Filing No. 1 at 25](#).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. By **June 26, 2023**, Respondent must file a motion for summary judgment or state court records in support of an answer. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: **June 26, 2023**: deadline for Respondent to file state court records in support of answer or motion for summary judgment.

2. If Respondent elects to file a motion for summary judgment, the following procedures must be followed by Respondent and Petitioner:

- A. The motion for summary judgment must be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time the motion is filed.
- B. The motion for summary judgment must be supported by any state court records that are necessary to support the motion. Those records must be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation

of State Court Records in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment.”

- C. Copies of the motion for summary judgment, the designation, including state court records, and Respondent’s brief must be served on Petitioner *except* that Respondent is only required to provide Petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the record that are cited in Respondent’s motion and brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Petitioner or Petitioner needs additional records from the designation, Petitioner may file a motion with the Court requesting additional documents. Such motion must set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.
- D. No later than 30 days following the filing of the motion for summary judgment, Petitioner must file and serve a brief in opposition to the motion for summary judgment. Petitioner may not submit other documents unless directed to do so by the Court.
- E. No later than 30 days after Petitioner’s brief is filed, Respondent must file and serve a reply brief. In the event that Respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the Court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the motion is therefore fully submitted for decision.

F. If the motion for summary judgment is denied, Respondent must file an answer, a designation and a brief that complies with terms of this order. See the following paragraph. The documents must be filed no later than 30 days after the denial of the motion for summary judgment. **Respondent is warned that failure to file an answer, a designation and a brief in a timely fashion may result in the imposition of sanctions, including Petitioner's release.**

3. If Respondent elects to file an answer, the following procedures must be followed by Respondent and Petitioner:

- A. By **June 26, 2023**, Respondent must file all state court records that are relevant to the cognizable claims. See, e.g., Rule 5(c)-(d) of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*. Those records must be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation of State Court Records in Support of Answer."
- B. No later than 30 days after the relevant state court records are filed, Respondent must file an answer. The answer must be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time the answer is filed. Both the answer and the brief must address all matters germane to the case including, but not limited to, the merits of Petitioner's allegations that have survived initial review, and whether any claim is barred by a failure to exhaust state remedies,

a procedural bar, non-retroactivity, a statute of limitations, or because the petition is an unauthorized second or successive petition. See, e.g., Rules 5(b) and 9 of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*.

- C. Copies of the answer, the designation, and Respondent's brief must be served on Petitioner at the time they are filed with the Court *except* that Respondent is only required to provide Petitioner with a copy of the specific pages of the designated record that are cited in Respondent's answer and brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Petitioner or Petitioner needs additional records from the designation, Petitioner may file a motion with the Court requesting additional documents. Such motion must set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.
- D. No later than 30 days after Respondent's brief is filed, Petitioner must file and serve a brief in response. Petitioner must not submit any other documents unless directed to do so by the Court.
- E. No later than 30 days after Petitioner's brief is filed, Respondent must file and serve a reply brief. In the event that Respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the Court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the merits of the petition are therefore fully submitted for decision.

F. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: **July 26, 2023**: check for Respondent's answer and separate brief.

4. No discovery shall be undertaken without leave of the Court. See Rule 6 of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*.

Dated this 10th day of May, 2023.

BY THE COURT:



Joseph F. Bataillon
Senior United States District Judge